

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,271	08/31/2006	Stefan Gallinat	P29848	3145
	7590 11/26/201 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE	CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/581,271	GALLINAT ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Renee Claytor	1627					

	Renee Claytor	1627							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding enternal of a fine file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The are not deemed to place the application in better the application in the are not deemed to place the application in the are not deemed to place the application in the are not deemed to place the application in the are not deemed to place the application in the are not deemed to place the application in th	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a concern NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 103. 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) — would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the									
non-allowable claim(s). To proproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) fow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•							
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 		Condition for allowar	ce pecause:						
13. Other:	1 10/05/00/1 apai 140(a).								
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Applicants state that they present the same arguments as set forth in the response to the previous Office Action to the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection. Accordingly, the same response to those arguments are set forth herein.

Applicants state that they present the same arguments as set forth in the response to the previous Office Action to the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection. Accordingly, the same response to those arguments are set forth herein.

Applicants have supplied a translation of the foreign priority document which is sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejection. Accordingly, the rejection is hereby withdrawn.